

Remarks on the Theory of Angular Momentum

O. Chavoya-Aceves
Camelback HS
Phoenix AZ USA

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Rigorous application of the correspondence rules shows that the orbital angular momentum of a particle in an electromagnetic field is given by $\hat{L} = \vec{r} \times (-i\hbar\vec{\nabla} - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A})$. Thus, despite the general opinion on the corresponding rules of quantization, the eigenvalues of the angular momentum depend of the configuration of electromagnetic field. Furthermore the usual commutation rules, $[\hat{l}_i, \hat{l}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{l}_k$, that are at the ground of the calculus of angular momenta and of the theory of spin—and Bohm's example of the EPR argument—are not valid in presence of an electromagnetic field. Actually, the expected value of the operators $\hat{l}_i$ is not invariant under gauge transformations.

Pacs:03.53.-w Quantum Mechanics.

1 Angular Momentum in Presence of an Electromagnetic Field

Consider a classical particle of mass m and charge q . The Hamilton's Function is

$$H(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \frac{(\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A})^2}{2m} + qV, \quad (1)$$

and, according to classical mechanics

$$m\dot{\vec{r}} = \vec{p} - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}, \quad (2)$$

indicating that

$$m\vec{r} \times \dot{\vec{r}} = \vec{r} \times (\vec{p} - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}). \quad (3)$$

Consequently, if the rules of correspondence are rigorously applied[1, P. 68], the quantum operators for orbital angular momentum, from which the magnetic momentum could be obtained, should be

$$\hat{L}_i = \hat{l}_i - \frac{q}{c}\epsilon_{ijk}x_jA_k, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\hat{l}_i = -i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}x_j\partial_k, \quad (5)$$

are the common operators.

To obtain the commutation relations, we'll make use of the identities:

$$[\widehat{l}_i, \widehat{l}_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}\widehat{l}_k$$

and

$$[\widehat{l}_i, \phi] = -i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}x_j\partial_k\phi,$$

for any function ϕ .

From (4)

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{L}_i, \widehat{L}_j] &= [\widehat{l}_i - \frac{q}{c}\epsilon_{icd}x_cA_d, \widehat{l}_j - \frac{q}{c}\epsilon_{jcd}x_cA_d] = \\ &= [\widehat{l}_i, \widehat{l}_j] - \frac{q}{c}\epsilon_{jcd}[\widehat{l}_i, x_cA_d] - \frac{q}{c}\epsilon_{icd}[x_cA_d, \widehat{l}_j] = \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\widehat{l}_k + \frac{i\hbar q}{c}\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd}x_a\partial_b(x_cA_d) - \frac{i\hbar q}{c}\epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd}x_a\partial_b(x_cA_d) = \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\widehat{l}_k + \frac{i\hbar q}{c}(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd})(x_a\delta_{bc}A_d + x_ax_c\partial_bA_d) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We can prove that

$$(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd})\delta_{bc} = \delta_{id}\delta_{ja} - \delta_{ia}\delta_{jd} = -\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{kad}.$$

Therefore:

$$[\widehat{L}_i, \widehat{L}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\widehat{L}_k + \frac{i\hbar q}{c}(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd})x_ax_c\partial_bA_d. \quad (7)$$

Further:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd})x_ax_c\partial_bA_d = \\ &= \frac{(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} + \epsilon_{icb}\epsilon_{jad} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd} - \epsilon_{jcb}\epsilon_{iad})}{2}x_ax_c\partial_bA_d = \\ &= \frac{(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} + \epsilon_{icb}\epsilon_{jad} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd} - \epsilon_{jcb}\epsilon_{iad})}{4}x_ax_c(\partial_bA_d - \partial_dA_b) = \\ &= \epsilon_{bde}\frac{(\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd} + \epsilon_{icb}\epsilon_{jad} - \epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd} - \epsilon_{jcb}\epsilon_{iad})}{4}x_ax_cH_e \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{H}$ is the magnetic field. Also:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{iab}\epsilon_{jcd}x_ax_cH_e &= \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{bia}\epsilon_{jcd}x_ax_cH_e = \\ &= (\delta_{di}\delta_{ea} - \delta_{da}\delta_{ei})\epsilon_{jcd}x_ax_cH_e = x_aH_a\epsilon_{ijk}x_k, \\ \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{icb}\epsilon_{jad}x_ax_cH_e &= \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{bic}\epsilon_{jad}x_ax_cH_e = \\ &= (\delta_{di}\delta_{ec} - \delta_{dc}\delta_{ei})\epsilon_{jad}x_ax_cH_e = x_aH_a\epsilon_{ijk}x_k, \\ \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{jab}\epsilon_{icd}x_ax_cH_e &= \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{bja}\epsilon_{icd}x_ax_cH_e = \\ &= (\delta_{dj}\delta_{ea} - \delta_{da}\delta_{ej})\epsilon_{icd}x_ax_cH_e = -x_aH_a\epsilon_{ijk}x_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{jcb}\epsilon_{iad}x_ax_cH_e = \epsilon_{bde}\epsilon_{bjc}\epsilon_{iad}x_ax_cH_e =$$

$$(\delta_{dj}\delta_{ec} - \delta_{dc}\delta_{ej})\epsilon_{iad}x_ax_cH_e = -x_aH_a\epsilon_{ijk}x_k.$$

From this, and (7) we conclude that

$$[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk} \left(\hat{L}_k + \frac{q}{c}(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{H})x_k \right) \quad (8)$$

showing that the usual commutation relations, on which the calculus of angular momenta and the theory of spin [1, p. 540]—and Bohm's example of the EPR argument [2, p. 614]—are grounded are not valid in presence of an electromagnetic field.

2 Ehrenfest Relations

Let

$$\hat{\pi}_i = -i\hbar\partial_i - \frac{q}{c}A_i \quad (9)$$

be the components of the linear momentum. We have:

$$[\hat{\pi}_i, \phi] = -i\hbar\partial_i\phi, \quad (10)$$

(For any function ϕ .)

$$[\hat{\pi}_i, \hat{\pi}_j] = \frac{i\hbar q}{c}(\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i), \quad (11)$$

and

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{\pi}_i \hat{\pi}_i}{2m} + qV. \quad (12)$$

From the general formula

$$\hat{f} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{f}] + \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial t} \quad (13)$$

we get

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{[\hat{\pi}_i \hat{\pi}_i, \hat{\pi}_k]}{2m} - q\vec{\nabla}V - \frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{[\hat{\pi}_i \hat{\pi}_i, \hat{\pi}_k]}{2m} + q\vec{E}, \quad (14)$$

where $\vec{E}$ is the electric field, which allows us to identify the operator of the magnetic force:

$$\hat{M}_k = \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{[\hat{\pi}_i \hat{\pi}_i, \hat{\pi}_k]}{2m} \quad (15)$$

Observe that

$$\hat{M}_k = \frac{i}{2m\hbar} \{\hat{\pi}_i, [\hat{\pi}_i, \hat{\pi}_k]\} = \frac{q}{2mc} \{\partial_k A_i - \partial_i A_k, \hat{\pi}_i\} = \frac{q}{2mc} \epsilon_{kmn} \{\hat{\pi}_m, H_n\}, \quad (16)$$

where $\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\} = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}$, which is a formal translation of the Lorentz force to the language of operators.

Given that

$$\hat{\pi}_m H_n = H_n \hat{\pi}_m - i\hbar\partial_m H_n,$$

the magnetic force can be written as:

$$\hat{M}_k = \frac{q}{mc} \epsilon_{kmn} H_n \hat{\pi}_m - \frac{i\hbar}{2mc} \epsilon_{kmn} \partial_m H_n, \quad (17)$$

that looks more like the classical expression, except for the second term.

From (4)

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} [\widehat{H}, \widehat{L}_i] + \frac{\partial \widehat{L}_i}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\widehat{\pi}_a \widehat{\pi}_a}{2m} + qV, \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \widehat{\pi}_k \right] - \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \frac{q}{c} \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial t} = \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{i\epsilon_{ijk}}{\hbar} \frac{[\widehat{\pi}_a \widehat{\pi}_a, x_j \widehat{\pi}_k]}{2m} + \epsilon_{ijk} q x_j E_k$$

Making use of (10) it can be shown that:

$$\frac{i\epsilon_{ijk}}{\hbar} \frac{[\widehat{\pi}_a \widehat{\pi}_a, x_j \widehat{\pi}_k]}{2m} = \frac{\epsilon_{ijk} [\widehat{\pi}_j, \widehat{\pi}_k]}{2m} + \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \widehat{M}_k,$$

and

$$\frac{i\epsilon_{ijk}}{\hbar} \frac{[\widehat{\pi}_a \widehat{\pi}_a, x_j \widehat{\pi}_k]}{2m} = -\frac{\epsilon_{ijk} [\widehat{\pi}_k, \widehat{\pi}_j]}{2m} + \epsilon_{ijk} \widehat{M}_k x_j,$$

From this and (18) we get:

$$\widehat{\dot{L}}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\{x_j, \widehat{f}_k\}}{2}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\widehat{f}_k = (\widehat{M}_k + qE_k), \quad (20)$$

corresponds to the Lorentz force, in complete agreement with the correspondence principle.

Notice that from the commutators $[\widehat{H}, \widehat{l}_i]$ it is not possible to obtain the component of the torque associated to the electric field, which definitely proves that the operators $\widehat{l}_i$ do not correspond to the orbital angular momentum. Actually, the expected value of the operators $\widehat{l}_i$ is not invariant under gauge transformations[3, P. 100].

References

- [1] A. Messiah; *Quantum Mechanics*; Dover (1999).
- [2] D. Bohm; *Quantum Theory*; Dover (1989).
- [3] H. Weyl; *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics*; Dover (1950).